## **Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report**

Program Name (no acronyms): Art History Department: Fine and Performing Arts

Degree or Certificate Level: BA College/School: College of Arts and Sciences

Date (Month/Year): 09/2023 Assessment Contact: Bradley Bailey

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022-2023

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2021

#### 1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the full, complete learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.)

In the fall 2022 semester the program offered ARTH 4900 Research Methods, which is the course that is used to assess Student Learning Outcome 3: Graduates will be able to apply the principal methodologies of art history to analyze a work of art, and artist, a patron, a place, or a text (also see the Program-Level Assessment Plan). ARTH 4900 is one of only three courses required for all art history majors, and effectively acts a capstone course in which students in either their junior of senior years engage in a semester-long research project

#### 2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe and identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

In ARTH 4900 Research Methods, students are assigned to write a 5,000-6,000 word research paper in which they use one or more the methodological approaches covered in the course. In the fall 2022 semester, the course was of that that that the that the course will a

4.

instances be necessary	to be more	actively	involved	in directing	students	toward	research	topics ar	nd

# Dr. Bukky Gbadegesin - ARTH 4900 Research Methods - Fall 2022 - Number of students: 8

## **Format**

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Did the student use the font(s), spacing, and margins specified by the instructor?	Nearly always or more – 8 On the whole students followed the guidelines.	Usually	Infrequently or less
Are the works of art and other relevant images and tables discussed illustrated in the text or as an appendix?	Nearly always or more – 6 Most students included images either within the text or as an appendix.	Usually	Infrequently or less-2 Two students omitted any images from the paper. I will provide students with a digital/hardcopy checklist that must be submitted with the paper.
Are the works of art and other relevant images and tables discussed illustrated with captions as specified by the instructor?	Nearly always or more-3 Two students identified images with the appropriate captions.	Usually	Infrequently or less – 5 Most of the students either did not used captions or used an incomplete format.
Are the reproductions legible and do they successfully illustrate the point for which they are included?	Nearly always or more – 7 All images that were included were good quality and illustrated the points for which they were included. Although one student only included the primary image in their paper.	Usually	Infrequently or less – 2 Two students omitted images from the paper so this question in not applicable for them.

Are the works of art discussed properly italicized in the text with dates and locations

 $included \ when \ approfTc \ -0.001 \ Tw 0.8 \ (r) \ 3.3 \ (op) 0 ic \ - \ (te) - Tw \ 5 (ed) \ T0 ic \ 3.001 \ 2 I1 \ ref \ P \ 4 \ 0.4855 \ BDCQ2 \ 11.84 \ 157.92 \ 144.36 \ 58.$ 

Final comments: The main issue was with omitted images and captions (especially, formatting). I will re-write the guidelines to clarify these requirements with illustrative examples of captions and give students with a digital/hardcopy checklist of the paper components of what must be submitted.

specified by the instructor?			
Were the research materials	Nearly always or more – 7	Usually	Infrequently or less – 1
derived from a broad variety of			A single student omitted her
sources (books, journal			bibliography
articles, newspapers,			
exhibition catalogues, etc.) as			
dictated by the scope of the			
paper and/or the			
specifications of the			
instructor?			

Final comments: For various reasons (late start, motivation), a few students struggled with gathering an adequate number of rigorous sources. I will dedicate more time to check-in with students individually about how to gather appropriate sources and use them effectively. A majority of students understood how and to what effect to use footnotes. One omitted bibliography is a reminder to offer students a digital/hardcopy checklist of what they should submit.

### Content

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Did the student successfully	Successfully - 6	Somewhat successfully – 1	Unsuccessfully – 1
implement one or more of the	, and the second	One student produced a thesis that	One student deviated from previous
approved research		used only one research method.	drafts and did not use any of the
methodologies of the			possible (previously discussed)
discipline?			methods.
Is the paper the appropriate	Yes - 5	Somewhat – 1	No - 2
length to address the scope of			Two students made it clear that
the thesis and/or as specified			they rushed to complete the paper
by the instructor?			and did not meet the page
			requirements.
Did the student utilize proper	Nearly always or more – 8	Henally	

Did the student utilize proper grammar and spelling consistently throughout the paper? (This includes typographical errors, run-on sentences, paragraph Nearly always or more – 8

Usually

indentations, and other