

1.	StudentLearning Outcomes Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed annual assessment cycle lease list the
	full, completelearning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.) to immunology, virology and graduate program. In the pre MB.6650 and virology MB.63 also assessed, and all partice
	2. Demonstrate the ability to
	logical flow, presentation, an students participated in and MMI colloquium MB.6920 in
2.	Assessment Methods: Artifacts Student Learning Which artifacts student learning were used to determine if students achievedounce ome(s) Pleasedescribe the artifacts in detailand identify the course(s) in which were collected Clarify Committee directly committee over vote of a 5 member faculty committee
	 a). All courses, journal club and colloquium provided online after spring of 2020. b). No involvement with the Madrid campus c). No involvement with the off-campus loc

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifactstudent learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s), (e.g. a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this repolarized not just refer to the assessment plan).

This is an apprenticeship style program, so the majority of the feedback to the students is immediate and occurs in one-on-one discussions between the students and their research mentors and/or other faculty. Additional feedback is provided by performance reports from the MMI course directors to the MMI Graduate Oversight Committee and by reviewing the students' grades. All of this information is collated by the Graduate Oversight Committee in annual reports synchronized with the students' annual research updates to the entire faculty.

7.